From: Kasdin, Alexandra
To: Jennifer Fortin-Noreus
Subject: Re: Grizzly -- Comment Issue Summaries
Date: Thursday, August 18, 2016 2:21:48 PM

I finally touched base with Seth on this today and he said he generally tries to paraphrase and rework things as much as he can. So I am going back through my summaries and Joy's summaries to make sure we've paraphrased from your bullets as best we can.

Alex Kasdin
Princeton University SINSI Fellow
Ecological Services, Mountain-Prairie Regional Office
134 Union Boulevard
Lakewood, CO 80228
Phone: (303) 236-4217

On Thu, Aug 11, 2016 at 8:42 AM, Kasdin, Alexandra <alexandra_kasdin@fws.gov> wrote:

> By quotes you mean stuff that is actually quoted in the bullets, right?
>
> Alex Kasdin
> Princeton University SINSI Fellow
> Ecological Services, Mountain-Prairie Regional Office
> 134 Union Boulevard
> Lakewood, CO 80228
> Phone: (303) 236-4217
>
> On Wed, Aug 10, 2016 at 7:37 PM, Jennifer Fortin-Noreus <Jennifer_fortin-noreus@fws.gov> wrote:
>
> > I think it's okay if it does a good job at capturing the issue. If it's a quote we need to make sure we put it in quotation marks although we don't have to provide a source from what I've seen in other rules.
> >
> > *Jennifer Fortin-Noreus, Ph.D.*
> >
> > *Postdoctoral Researcher*
> >
> > *Grizzly Bear Recovery Program*
> >
> > *US Fish and Wildlife Service*
> >
> > *University of Montana*
> >
> > *University Hall, Room 309*
> >
> > *Missoula, MT 59812*
> >
> > *Phone: 406.243.4903*
> >
> > *Jennifer_fortin-noreus@fws.gov*

From: Kasdin, Alexandra [mailto:alexandra_kasdin@fws.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Jennifer Fortin-Noreus
Subject: Re: Grizzly -- Comment Issue Summaries

Do you think it's ok if I am taking some of the statements verbatim from these notes (and thus likely verbatim from the comments)? Or should we aim to paraphrase more?

Alex Kasdin

Princeton University SINSI Fellow

Ecological Services, Mountain-Prairie Regional Office

134 Union Boulevard

Lakewood, CO 80228

Phone: (303) 236-4217

On Wed, Aug 3, 2016 at 3:57 PM, Kasdin, Alexandra <alexandra_kasdin@fws.gov> wrote:

Hi all,

Thank you again for your willingness to help us summarize the issues we received in the first round of public comments.

Attached to this email is a document that contains a bulleted summary of all the content we received in the public comments. I organized those bullets into 76 different issues. I assigned each of these 76 issues to one of the people on this team. You will need to turn the bullets in your assigned issue into a succinct and coherent summary of all the comments we received on that issue (in paragraph form). We are not responding to these comments yet; we are merely creating an exhaustive, but concise, summary of the content of the public comments. Two things to note:

1. If there was an issue summary in the 2012 WY wolf delisting rule that was similar to your assigned issue, I noted the number of the comparable issue. Feel free to refer to the cited issue summaries in the WY wolf rule for guidance on how to structure your summary. It is probably easiest to do a global find in the WY wolf rule for the issue number that I cite in the attached document (e.g. if I note Issue 27

as a good example, do a search in the WY wolf rule for the words "Issue 27").
2. The attached document is color-coded. Green text represents issues that came up in the public comments, blue text represents issues that came up in the peer review, and purple text represents issues that came up in both public comments and peer review. This key is also on the first page of the attached document. Please accurately attribute text to either public commenters, peer reviewers, or both. If the content came from a public commenter, you do not need to cite the source organization, just the fact that the issue was raised in public comments (as opposed to the peer reviews).

I tried my best to make the content as clear as possible. However, there will definitely be areas that do not make sense to you out of context. If you are unsure what a bullet means, please let me know so Jennifer and I can help you interpret or so that we can provide additional context.

The attached document has a name in black next to each issue. These are your assignments! Please write up the paragraph summaries to your assigned issues in the google document I just shared with you. This will allow us all to collaborate on the issue write-ups. The google document also contains an example write-up I did for whitebark pine. This example is likely longer than most of the summaries we will write.

We would like the issue summaries to be completed by COB Friday August 19. If you do not think you will be able to finish all of your assigned summaries by that date, please let me know ASAP so I can redistribute as needed. The break-down is as follows:

- Nathan: 19 issue summaries
- Joy: 18 issue summaries
- Alex: 19 issue summaries
- Maricela: 11 issue summaries (plus the DPS/SPR comments)
- Jennifer: 8 issue summaries (highly technical issues)

Please do not hesitate to email or call if you have any questions or concerns!

Alex Kasdin

Princeton University SINSI Fellow

Ecological Services, Mountain-Prairie Regional Office

134 Union Boulevard

Lakewood, CO 80228

Phone: (303) 236-4217